City of London: Projects Procedure Corporate Risks Register PM's overall Average Open Risks **CRP** requested Project Name: St Paul's gyratory Medium 6.9 15 risk ratina: unmitigated risk this gateway Average mitigated Total estimated cas Total CRP used to Closed Risks Unique project identifier: 11377 22.000.000 4.8 £ 0 (exc risk) risk score date Description of the Risk Costed impact pre- Costed Risk Provision Co mpact post- Mitig nitigation (£) Officer or External Party ion post-Robust and extensive engagement will take place during scheme development. llowed to mitigate challenge on procedural or nainst a successful Compliance/Rea Successful challenge to a nitial discussions with developer other grounds relating to the traffic order ossible £0.0 - Fairly Confident £0.00 Possible £0.00 £0.0 07/12/21 eah Coburn eorge Wright indicate they share the project's ambitions. However, recent daements at Beech Stre legal challenges mean the risk of and Bishopsgate. hallenge remains possible. n theory Tfl. have 28 days to ere may be delays to the approve or reject a TMAN but it the extensive preliminary Delays to TfL approving the MAN for the permanent MAN approval if TfL have a concerns relating to the Regular and ongoing liaiso – Fairly Confident 7/12/21 eah Coburn George Wright Major engagement with TfL teams that with TfL teams affic order pact of a permanent crucial to its approval. This has heme on the network lready started The data currently held is robust errogate the data ost COVID, traffic flows ha ready collected as far a and adjustments for COVID changed significantly. Stakeholders and Members may want more data to sible to draw re ould be made to reflect curren dditional data and nclusions on traffic 7/12/21 (8) Technology – Fairly Confident £0.00 eah Coburn eorge Wright onditions. However, it is ossible that TfL will require onitoring is required ductions or collect frest rove the impacts of the affic survey data if updated traffic survey data. This he purpose of the next hase of the project is to obtain updated cost timates based on oth developers share the concept designs. These give further clarity on project's ambitions for the area and can contribute via s278 apital funding for he project cannot proceed erall costs and enable (2) Financial onstruction is not vet in to construction phase until ossible Maior £0.0 - Fairly Confident £0.00 Possible Maior £0.0 07/12/21 eah Coburn George Wright agreements. Internally, the the financial contribution project was ranked first in the 2019 DBE project prioritisation apital funding is secured e respective s278 greements to be termined. Work will als ake place to assess the asibility of an internal aptial bid. The developer shares the City's ambition for a ansformational scheme ar ne absence of sufficient City prepared to make a ade it clear that a funding may result in a reduced contribution from developer of 81 Newgate anificant financial anficant developer capital bid was submitted in (2) Financial Intribution. If no City Intribution is secured, the A - Very Confident 07/12/21 eah Coburn George Wright 021 but was not approved. leveloper is likely to revert to ake a clear fundina minimum s278, putting the hole gyratory project is eopardy. he developer shares the City's ambition for a transformational scheme and s prepared to make a ade it clear that a ignificant financial anficant developer o confrmation of City ntribution. If no City A capital bid was submitted in (3) Reputation £0.0 - Fairly Confident £0.00 Possible 7/12/21 eah Coburn. George Wright ontribution is secured, the putation of the City will be ake a clear funding lamaged, the developer is mmitment. ikely to revert to a minimun s278, putting the whole gyratory project is jeopardy Regular discussions have started ondon Buses do not alloc gage early with TfL with TfL Buses and they have provided very helpful input to date. The aim is to continue with ufficient resource to the project to advise on regarding a bus £0.0 - Fairly Confident £0.00 5/05/22 eah Coburn T/GW/NW elay to programme £0.00 Unlikely resentative to advise a outing and scenario testing tioneering. nis working relationship. nmittee Members think sure that there is a range nat the outline option options that are posals presented at elay to programme/ Would likely be a minor imact in (3) Reputation ossible £0.0 - Fairly Confident ented and assessed £0.00 Unlikely £0.00 £0.0 25/05/22 eah Coburn W/NW eway 3 are either too putational risks. rms of programme lengths. om a basic s106 agreem to to the maximum option bitious or not ambitious ough. nsure that key stakeholde ey stakeholder (s) do not e aware of the project ndorse design options at easibility stage, with regards (4) Contractual/Par nbitions, and that they progress otherwise feasibile £0.0 - Fairly Confident £0.00 Unlikely £0.00 £0. 5/05/22 elay to programme eah Coburn ovide any access quirements during an nership options, Local Stakeholders work access for servicing or ill be undertaken before G4. arly stage. eetings to take place wi presentative groups will ed to take place during nere is a potential that the e feasibility stage. Optio deliver the magnitude of change ompleted scheme could ill need to be assessed that members and the public are npact negatively on some ne protected characterist (3) Reputation Reputational impact £0.0 - Fairly Confident £0.00 Rare rious £0.00 5/06/22 eah Coburn 3W/NW gainst the City of London reet Accessibility tool and expecting to see if not managed well to design out identified nder the equalities act. an Equality Impact essment will be

R11 3		(9) Environmental	Requirements to keep the ability for resilience/flexibility through the area in traffic terms, restricts the options that can be developed.	Impact to project scope.	Possible	Serious	6	£0.00	N	B – Fairly Confident	Seek to ensure that an appropriate level of resilience is maintained within the scheme extents, working closely with the network management team.	£0.00) Unlikely	Serious	£0.00	4	£0.00	13	5/06/22	Leah Coburn	GW/NW		This could mean extension of the project boundary area and is likely to result in increased costs.
R12 3		(4) Contractual/Part nership	TfL buses engagement and their requirements on a project.	Further time and therefore resource may be required if planned engagement work with IfL buses didn't go as planned. Also, they may change their requirements for a project.	Unlikely	Serious	4	£0.00	N	B – Fairly Confident	* Early engagement with IfL buses in the design phases so they can consult internally * Design the measures to help minimise impacts on the bus network	£0.00£) Unlikely	Minor	£0.00	2	£0.00	21	9/06/22	Leah Coburn	GW/NW		Maintain regular progress meetings with TfL buses.
R13 3		(2) Financial	Inaccurate or Incomplete project estimates, including baxters/ inflationary issues leads to budget increases	If an estimate is found at a later date to be inaccurate of incomplete, more funding and/or firme resource would be needed to rectify the issue or fund/ underwrite the shortfall. More specifically, inflationary amounts predetermined earlier in a project may be found to be insufficient and require extra funding to cover any shortfall.	e Unlikely	Serious	4	£0.00	N	B – Fairly Confident	* Undertake regular cost reviews with the highways team. At appropriate gateway, identify costed risk fund requirements	£0.00) Rare	Minor	£0.00	1	£0.00	2:	9/06/22	Leah Coburn	KT/GW		
R14 3		(8) Technology	Additional investigations or surveys may be required by internal/ external parties to further validate the design.	Delays could occur to the programme if validation of the design is delayed.	Possible	Serious	6	£0.00	N	B – Fairly Confident	Liaise with internal/ external parties at an early stage to agree the scope of any additional investigations/ surveys.	£0.00) Rare	Minor	£0.00	1	£0.00	25	9/06/22	Leah Coburn	GW/NW		
R15 3		(3) Reputation	Relocation/ratiinalisation of coach parking.	Reputational/ delay to programme.	Possible	Minor	3	£0.00	N	B – Fairly Confident	Identify alternative locations for coach parking. Monitor existing provision to determine cyrrent demand) Rare	Minor	£0.00		£0.00	12	2/07/22	Leah Coburn	GW/NW		Several existing coach parking bays are currently suspended
R16 R17						1		00.03 00.03				£0.00			£0.00		0.03 00.03					+	
R18						1		£0.00				£0.00)		£0.00		£0.00					1	
R20						+		00.03 00.03				£0.00)		£0.00 £0.00		£0.00 £0.00					+	
R21 R22								00.03 00.03				£0.00			£0.00 £0.00		£0.00						
R23								£0.00				£0.00)		£0.00		£0.00						
R24 R25						+	-	0.00£ 00.00£				£0.00			£0.00 £0.00		£0.00						
R26								£0.00				£0.00)		£0.00		£0.00						
R27 R28						+	-	00.03 00.03				£0.00			£0.00	-	£0.00						
R29 R30								00.03 00.03				£0.00			£0.00 £0.00		£0.00						
R31								0.00£				£0.00			£0.00		£0.00						
R32 R33							-	00.03 00.03				£0.00			£0.00 £0.00		£0.00						
R34								£0.00				£0.00)		£0.00		£0.00						
R35 R36								00.0£ 00.0£				0.00£			00.0£ 00.0£		0.00£						
R37 R38								00.03 00.03				£0.00 00.03			£0.00		£0.00						
R39								£0.00				£0.00)		£0.00		£0.00						
R40 R41						1		00.03 00.03				£0.00			£0.00 £0.00		£0.00					+	
R42 R43								£0.00				£0.00)		£0.00		£0.00						
R43								00.03 00.03				0.00£			00.0£ 00.0£		£0.00						
R45 R46						+		0.03 00.03				£0.00			00.0£ 00.0£		£0.00					1	
R47								£0.00				£0.00)		£0.00		£0.00						
R48 R49						1		0.00£ 00.00£				£0.00			£0.00 £0.00		£0.00					+	
R50 R51								£0.00				£0.00)		£0.00		£0.00						
R52								00.03 00.03				£0.00)		£0.00		£0.00						
R53 R54				+	-	1	1	0.00£		+	+	£0.00		+	0.00£	+	£0.00	+		-	1	+	
R55 R56								£0.00				£0.00 £0.00)		£0.00		£0.00						
R57								00.03 00.03				£0.00)		£0.00 £0.00		£0.00						
R58 R59						+	1	0.00£ 00.00£		1		£0.00		+	00.0£ 00.0£	-	£0.00			-		+	
R60 R61								00.03 00.03				£0.00)		£0.00 £0.00		£0.00						
R62								£0.00				£0.00			£0.00		£0.00						
R63 R64						1	 	0.03 00.03				£0.00		+	£0.00 £0.00	$-\mp$	£0.00					1	
R65								20.00 00.00				0.00£			£0.00		£0.00					1	
R66 R67 R68								£0.00				£0.00)		£0.00 £0.00		£0.00 £0.00						
R68 R69						+	1	00.0£ 00.0£			 	£0.00		+ -	0.00£	$-\top$	£0.00					+ -	
R70						1		£0.00				£0.00)		£0.00		£0.00					1	
R71 R72				<u> </u>		<u> </u>	\perp	0.00£ 00.0£		<u> </u>		£0.00		\pm	0.00£ 00.00£	+	£0.00					\pm	
R73 R74	_							00.03 00.03				£0.00)		£0.00		£0.00						
R75						1		£0.00				£0.00)		£0.00		£0.00					1	
R76 R77				+	-	1	1	00.0£ 00.0£		+	+	0.00£		+	00.0£ 00.0£		£0.00	+		-	1	+	
R78						1	1	£0.00				£0.00			£0.00		£0.00					1	
R79 R80					<u> </u>	<u> </u>		00.0£ 00.0£				0.00£)		£0.00 £0.00		£0.00 £0.00			<u> </u>		<u> </u>	
R81 R82					1	1	1	0.00£ 00.0£				£0.00 00.03	0		£0.00 £0.00	$\neg \exists$	£0.00		· 			+	
R83								£0.00				£0.00			£0.00		£0.00					1	
R84 R85		1		 	1	1	1	00.03 00.03		+	+	£0.00		-	£0.00 £0.00		£0.00			-	1	+	
R86								£0.00				£0.00			£0.00		£0.00						

R87			£0.00		£0.00	£0.00	£0.00		
R88			£0.00		£0.00	£0.00	£0.00		
R89			£0.00		£0.00	£0.00	£0.00		
R90			00.0£		£0.00	£0.00	£0.00		
R91			£0.00		0.00£	£0.00	£0.00		
R92			00.0£		£0.00	£0.00	£0.00		
R93			£0.00		0.00£	£0.00	£0.00		
R94			00.0£		£0.00	£0.00	£0.00		
R95			00.0£		£0.00	£0.00	£0.00		
R96			£0.00		0.00£	£0.00	£0.00		
R97			00.0£		£0.00	£0.00	£0.00		
R98			£0.00		0.00£	£0.00	£0.00		
R99			00.0£		£0.00	£0.00	£0.00		
R100			£0.00		£0.00	£0.00	£0.00		